

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,806	(06/24/2003	Tsunehiko Sugawara	239462US0	7881	
22850	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				HODGES, MATTHEW P		
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	E STREET ORIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER				PAPER NUMBER	
TIEDZE II (D.	,			2879		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lh	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,806	SUGAWARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Matt P Hodges	2879	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		1	,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	-
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	` ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachmant/s)			
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a glass funnel for a cathode ray tube, classified in class 313,

subclass 480.

II. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to a method of manufacture for a glass funnel, classified in

class 445, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product, a glass funnel for a cathode ray tube, can be manufactured by an alternative method including for instance submersal in a molten solution including ions thus encouraging an ion exchange, the use of a paste on the glass surface to facilitate the ion exchange, and the alternative use of an electric field with either of the previous two processes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Joseph Scafetta on 6/8/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

mphM

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800